

- MÖHN, E. 1984. System und phylogenie der lebewesen Bd-I Physikalische, chemische und biologische evolution, Prokaryota, Eukaryota (bis Ctenophora). (Schweizerbart: Stuttgart).
- REISWIG, H.M. & MACKIE, G.O. 1983. Studies on hexactinellid sponges. III. The taxonomic status of Hexactinellida within the Porifera. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, B Biological Science 304:419-428.
- REITNER, J. 1992. 'Coralline Spongien'. Der versuch einer phylogenetisch-taxonomischen analyse. Berliner Geowissenschaftliche Abhandlungen (E) I: 1-352.
- REITNER, J. & MEHL, D. 1996. Monophyly of the Porifera. Verhandlungen der naturwissenschaftlichen Versammlung der Hamburg 36: 5-32.
- RODRIGO, A., BERGQUIST, P.R. & BERGQUIST, P. 1994. Inadequate support for an evolutionary link between the metazoa and the fungi. Systematic Biology 43(4): 578-584.
- SAMBROOK, J., FRISCHT, E. & MANIATIS, T. 1989. Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual. (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: Cold Spring Harbor).
- SANGER, F., NICKLEN, S. & COULSEN, A. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain termination inhibitors. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA 74: 5463-5467.
- SMITH, S.W., OVERBEEK, R., WOESE, C.R., GILBERT, W. & GILLEVET, P.M. 1994. The Genetic Data Environment: an expandable guide for multiple sequence-analysis. Computer Applications in the Biosciences 10: 671-675.
- SOEST, R.W.M. VAN 1987. Phylogenetic exercises with monophyletic groups of sponges Pp. 227-241. In Vacelet, J. & Boury-Esnault, N. (eds) Taxonomy of Porifera. NATO ASI Series. Vol. G13. (Springer-Verlag: Berlin).
- SOEST, R.W.M. VAN, DIAZ, M.C. & POMPONI, S.A. 1990. Phylogenetic classification of the Halichondridida (Porifera, Demospongiae). Beaufortia 40: 15-62.
- SWOFFORD, D.L., OLSEN, G.J., WADDELL, P.J. & HILLIS, D.M. 1997. Phylogenetic inference. Pp. 407-514. In Hillis, D.M., Moritz, C. & Mable, B.K. (eds) Molecular Systematics. 2nd Edition. (Sinauer Associates: Sunderland).
- SWOFFORD, D.L. (in press). PAUP*: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (and other methods). Version 4.0. (Sinauer Press: Massachusetts).
- TOPSENT, E. 1922. Les mégasclères polystyloides des Monaxonides et la parenté des Latrunculines. Bulletin de l' Institut Océanographique de Monaco 415: 1-8.
- VACELET, J. 1985. Coralline sponges and the evolution of the Porifera. Pp. 1-13. In Morris, S.C., George, J.D., Gibson, R. & Platt, H.M. (eds) The origins and relationships of lower invertebrates. (Clarendon Press: Oxford).
- WAINRIGHT, P.O., HINKLE, G., SOGIN, M.L. & STICKEL, S.K. 1993. Monophyletic origins of the Metazoa: an evolutionary link with fungi. Science 260: 340-342.
- WEST, L. & POWERS, D. 1993. Molecular phylogenetic position of hexactinellid sponges in relation to the Protista and Demospongiae. Molecular Marine Biology and Technology 2: 71-75.
- ZHARKIKH, A. & LI, W.-H. 1992. Statistical properties of bootstrap estimation of phylogenetic variability from nucleotide sequences. II. Four taxa without a molecular clock. Journal of Molecular Evolution 35: 356-366.

APPROACH TO THE PHYLOGENY OF AXINELLIDAE (PORIFERA: DEMOSPONGIAE) USING MORPHOLOGICAL AND MOLECULAR DATA. *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* 44: 43. 1999.- A set of 27 species of marine sponges of the Axinellidae and related families was selected with the aim of testing the monophyly of Axinellidae and investigating their phylogenetic relationships using cladistic methods. Partial 28S rDNA sequences, including the D3 domain, and traditional morphological characters were used independently to construct phylogenetic trees. Alignment of the sequences using the appropriate model of secondary structure of the RNA was compared to that produced by the ClustalW. The alignment using secondary structure constraints produced a better estimate of the phylogeny and was demonstrated to be an effective and objective method.

The results from the analyses of the molecular and morphological data sets are not fully congruent; the morphological data suggest that Axinellidae is monophyletic, however the molecular data suggest

that it is not monophyletic. In both cases the sampled members of the family are closely related to those of Halichondriidae and Dictyonellidae. Tests of heterogeneity (reciprocal T-PTP and partition homogeneity test) shown that the data partitions are heterogeneous, which could be due to sampling errors (in either data set) or differences in the underlying phylogenies, and therefore data were not combined in a single analysis. □ *Porifera, Axinellidae, secondary structure, D3 domain 28S ribosomal DNA, phylogeny.*

B. Alvarez* (email: b.glasby@niwa.cri.nz), M.D. Crisp, Division of Botany and Zoology, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia; F. Driver, Division of Entomology, CSIRO, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia; J.N.A. Hooper, Queensland Museum, P.O. Box 3300, South Brisbane, QLD, 4101, Australia; R.W.M. van Soest, Institute of Taxonomic Zoology, University of Amsterdam, P.O. Box 20125-1000 at Amsterdam, The Netherlands; * Present address: National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, P.O. Box 14-901, Kilbirnie, Wellington, New Zealand; 1 June 1998.